U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

SECRETARY OF LABOR WASHINGTON. D.C.

DATE: June 30, 1992 CASE NO. 87-CTA-15

IN THE MATTER OF

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF LABOR,

COMPLAINANT,

v.

WESTCHESTER COUNTY, NEW YORK,

RESPONDENT.

BEFORE: THE SECRETARY OF LABOR

DISMISSAL ORDER ARISING FROM SETTLEMENT BEFORE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

On June 5, 1992, the Administrative Law Judge (ALJ) issued a Recommended Decision and Order Approving Settlement (R.D. and 0.) under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 801-999 (Supp. V 1981). 17 The R.D. and 0. recommended the entry of an order providing that the May 21, 1992, Stipulation of Final Settlement filed with the ALJ be accepted as fully dispositive of all unresolved issues in this case.

Although the ALJ captions his CETA decision as "recommended," rather than final, as pointed out in <u>United States</u>

CETA was repealed effective October 12, 1982. The replacement statute, the Job Training Partnership Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 1501-1791 (1988), provides that pending proceedings under CETA are not affected. 29 U.S.C. § 1591(e).

Department of Labor v. County of Essex, New Jersey, Case No. 85-CTA-28, Acting Secretary's Order of Dismissal, Jan. 30, 1991, slip op. at 2, (also involving a stipulation of final settlement filed with an ALJ under CETA), the governing CETA regulation, 20 C.F.R. § 676.91(f) (1990), provides that the ALJ's decision is final unless a dissatisfied party files exceptions and the Secretary asserts jurisdiction and stays the proceeding. Thus "[u]nlike settlements reviewed and recommended for approval by ALJs under various employee protection (whistleblower) statutes pursuant to regulations at 29 C.F.R. Part 24 (1990), finality under CETA does not obligate the Secretary to review and approve CETA settlements.@' County of Essex, slip op. at 2 (citations and footnote omitted). Accordingly, this case is DISMISSED.

Lynn Martin ecretary of Labor

SO ORDERED.

Washington, D.C.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Case Name: U.S. Department of Labor v. Westchester County.

New York

Case No. : 87-CTA-15

Document: Dismissal Order Arising from Settlement before

Administrative Law Judge

A copy of the above-referenced document was sent to the following

persons on ______JUN 3 0 1992

Kattleen Forham

CERTIFIED MAIL

Patricia M. Rodenhausen Regional Solicitor Attn: Jane **Snell** Bruner U.S. Department of Labor 201 Varick Street, Rm. 707 New York, NY 10014

Bonnie D. Harris, Esq. Daniel H. Krivit, Esq. Gary D. Michaels, Esq. Krivit & Krivit
50 E Street, S.E. Washington, DC 20003

Frank Marocco

Deputy County Attorney Richard B. Liebowitz Asst. County Attorney Westchester County Room 600 Michaelin Office Bldg. 148 Martine Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

Mr. Lovell W. Sutherland Mr. Thomas E. Hill Grant Officer U.S. Department of Labor/ETA 201 Varick Street New York, NY 10014 Mr. Andrew P. O'Rourke County Executive Westchester County 148 Martine Avenue White Plains, NY 10601

REGULAR MAIL

David 0. Williams
Office of Financial Administrative
Management
Charles Wood
Chief, Division of Audit Resolution
Linda Kontnier
Office of Debt Management
U.S. Department of Labor
200 Constitution Ave., N.W.
Room N-4671
Washington, DC 20210

Hon. Nahum Litt Chief Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Law Judges 800 K Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, DC 20001-8002

Hon. John M. Vittone
Deputy Chief Administrative Law Judge
Office of Administrative Law Judges
U.S. Department of Labor
800 K Street, N.W., Suite 400
Washington, DC 20001-8002

Hon. Lawrence E. Gray Administrative Law Judge Office of Administrative Law Judges 800 K Street, N.W., Suite 400 Washington, D.C. 20001-8002

HAND DELIVERED

Charles D. Raymond, Esq.
Associate Solicitor for
Employment and Training
Legal Services
U.S. Department of Labor
Room N-2101
200 Constitution Ave., N.W.
Washington, DC 20210